

## **REMARKS**

### **I. Status of the Application**

Claims 1-8 and 10-21 were pending in the Application prior to the Restriction Requirement, and claims 2, 3, and 7 were previously withdrawn. In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner alleged that the Application contains more than one invention that are not so linked as to form a general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, and as a result, the Examiner has required that Applicant elect between the following groups:

- Group I: Claims 1, 4-6, and 11-15, drawn to a current collecting structure comprising carbon as the active material formed on a current collecting substrate, classified in class 429, subclass 231.4; and
- Group II: Claims 8, 10, and 16-21, drawn to a current collecting structure comprising carbon as the active material or a specified electrochemical active material, classified in class 429, subclasses 213 and 218.1.

In addition, the Examiner alleged that the Application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Within Group I (noting that claims 1, 4-6, 14, and 15 are generic)

- Species 1: Claim 11; and
- Species 2: Claims 12 & 13; or

Within Group II (noting that claims 8, 10, and 21 are generic)

- Species 1: Claims 16 & 17; and
- Species 2: Claims 18-20.

Applicant was required to elect a single disclosed species within the elected group, and to identify the claims readable on the elected species, for prosecution on the merits.

**II. Election and Identification of Invention/Claims**

Applicant respectfully elects Group I, and claims 1, 4-6, and 11-15 therein, without traverse, and elects Species 1, and claims 12 & 13 therein, without traverse, noting that claims 1, 4-6, 14, and 15 are generic to either species. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests examination and allowance of claims 1, 4-6, and 12-15 of the Application. Applicant expressly reserves the right to file any ultimately unelected/withdrawn claims in one or more divisional applications.

**III. Petition for an Extension of Time to Submit the Present Response**

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time of one (1) month, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), thereby extending the deadline for response, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.7(a) & 1.136(a), to Monday, June 13, 2011 (taking into consideration that June 12, 2011 falls on a Sunday). Applicant shall authorize payment for this extension in the amount of \$65.00 via credit card at the time of electronically filing the present Response.


**CONCLUSION**

It is respectfully submitted that Applicant has made a patentable contribution to the art and that this Response places the Application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable consideration and allowance of claims 1, 4-6, and 12-15 of this Application is respectfully requested.

In the event Applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a payment of an additional fee or for an additional extension of time, Applicant conditionally petitions therefor, and authorizes any fee deficiency to be charged to deposit account 09-0007. When doing so, please reference docket number P01901-US-00 (24853.1000).

Respectfully submitted,

ICE MILLER LLP



Mark C. Reichel  
Attorney Registration No. 53,509

ICE MILLER LLP

One American Square, Suite 2900  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282-0200  
Phone: (317) 236-5882  
Facsimile: (317) 592-4606

MCR